## **FLUORINE AND PROTON NMR STUDIES OF THE CONFORMATIONS OF 4,4-DIFLUOROCYCLOHEXANOL AND ITS BENZOATE (1) Robert D. Stolow(2) and Thomas W. Giants**

## **Department of Chemistry, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155**

**John D. Roberts** 

**Department of Chemistry, Gates and Crellin Laboratories, California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109** 

**(Received in USA 31 July 1968; received** in UK for **publication** 15 September **1968)** 

**Fluorine substitution into a polar flexible molecule may be expected to significantly alter the conformational equilibria for that molecule because of electrostatic (or other) interactions between polar aubatituents and the fluorine atoms. Therefore, the "fluorine-labeling technique" which has been used successfully in conformational studies of hydrocarbons (4.5). should not be extended to polar flexible molecules without taking account of the interactions introduced by the fluorine atoms and their effect on the conformational energies. We report here studies of conformational equilibria by fluorine and proton nmr directed toward evaluation of the transannular interactions introduced when a 4,4-difluoro group is substituted into cyclohexanol (and other related polar flexible molecules).** 

**Conformational populations have been determined from fluorine nmr peak area ratios obtained by integration of spectra recorded at 56.4 MHz and -83" to -104" for 4,4-difluoro**cyclohexyl benzoate (I), 4,4-difluorocyclohexyl-3,3,5,5-d<sub>4</sub> benzoate (II), 4,4-difluorocyclohexanol (III) and  $4, 4$ -difluorocyclohexanol-3, 3, 5, 5,  $-d<sub>4</sub>$  (IV).



**II**  $R = D$ ,  $X = OBz$  **IV**  $R = D$ ,  $X = OH$ 

**At these low temperatures, conformational interconversion is slow on the nmr time scale for I-V, and the observed spectrum is the superposition of the spectra of the individual conformers,**  *a* and *e*. Each conformer gives an AB quartet. For Ia, Ie, IIIa and IIIe, each quartet con**sists of a relatively narrow downfield pair of peaks and a relatively broad upfield pair of peaks.**  For IIa, IIe, IVa, and IVe, with vicinal deuterium-fluorine couplings in place of the proton**fluorine couplings of I and III, all peaks are relatively narrow. In each quartet, the upfield pair of peaks is attributable to an axial fluorine atom(5).** 

**The downfield equatorial fluorine peak area ratio for** *IIa* **and IIe in acetone-fluorotrichlo**romethane solution at  $-104^{\circ}$  corresponds to 74  $\pm$  2% of the major conformer, and 26  $\pm$  2% of

**the minor conformer. That IIQ with the benzoate group axial is the major conformer follows**  from the relative areas of the  $C-1$  proton nmr peaks of  $IIa$  and  $IIe$  under the same conditions(6). However, at 60 MHz, the downfield narrower peak of larger area attributable to IIa overlapped the upfield broader peak of smaller area attributable to II*e*. Consequently, the proton nmr **spectrum of II only gives a qualitative area ratio, but it does allow unequivocal assignment of structure to the major conformer by comparison with model compounds (7). Taken together, the fluorine and proton nmr spectra at -104" are consistent with a free-energy**  difference for IIe  $\pm$  IIa of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ <sub>104</sub>°,-0. 35  $\pm$  0. 04 kcal/mole (see Table I). If  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ <sub>2104</sub>° for **cyclohexyl benzoate is taken to be equal to**  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ **<sub>=8</sub>° for cyclohexyl acetate (+0.72 kcal/mole** (8)) then the change of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  resulting from introduction of the  $4, 4$ -gem-fluoro group is about **1.1 kcal/mole.** 

The 60-MHz proton nmr spectrum at  $-93^\circ$  of  $4, 4$ -difluorocyclohexanol-3,3,5,5-d, (IV), 100 mg in 0.15 ml of methanol- $d_4$  plus 0.15 ml of fluorotrichloromethane, shows a broad **peak for the C-l proton of IVe overlapped on its downfield side by a narrower peak of**  comparable area for the  $C-1$  proton of IVa. Under the same conditions, the downfield **equatorial fluorine peak areas corresponded to a nearly equal mixture of** *Iva* **and Ne, with**   $51 \pm 2\%$  of one, and  $49 \pm 2\%$  of the other. Assignment of conformation to each pair of peaks **(Table I) is based upon analogy with II. The spectra of II and IV are so similar (Table I) that there is a very high probability that the assignment is correct. The assignment, of**  course, is not crucial in evaluating the negligible free-energy difference for  $IVe \neq IVA$ ,  $\Delta G^{\bullet}$ <sub> $\bullet$ 9</sub> $\bullet$  = -0.01  $\pm$  0.03 kcal/mole.

**The upfield axial fluorine peak areas give an independent measure of the same quan**tity under the same conditions. The result,  $46.5 \pm 3\%$  of IVa, gives for IVe  $\pm$  IVa,  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ .,  $\circ$  $t = 0.05 \pm 0.05$  kcal/mole. An average of the two independent values gives  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ <sub>793</sub>°,  $t = 0.02$  $\pm$  0.08 kcal/mole. We conclude that the conformational free energies of IVa and IVe, **under these conditions, are essentially equal.** 

In protic solvents, the conformational free-energy difference for cyclohexanol is  $+0.87$ **kcal/mole in favor of the equatorial conformation (9). Therefore, introduction of a gemfluoro group into cyclohexanol at C-4 to give IV reduces this free-energy difference to**  about + 0.02, a change of about 0.85 kcal/mole, a significant destabilization of the equatorial **conformer relative to the axial conformer.** 

The fluorine nmr parameters were reported previously for l-chloro-4, 4-difluoro**cyclohexane (V), along with the magnitude (but not the sign) of the free-energy difference**  for  $Ve \neq Va$  (5). The observed pattern of fluorine chemical shifts (absolute values,  $\delta$ , and differences,  $v_0 \delta$ ), show a striking similarity (Table I) between II and V only if Va is more stable than  $Ve$ . That conformer  $Va$  with chlorine axial is more stable than  $Ve$  has been demonstrated unequivocally for V in propene solution at -93°; the relative C-1 proton nmr peak areas of Va and Ve require  $> 60\%$  of Va to be present. Therefore, for Ve  $\pm$  Va in **propene solution,**  $\Delta G^{\circ}{}_{25}^{\circ}$ , -0.32 kcal/mole (3), may be contrasted with the reported value **of the free-energy difference between the corresponding conformations of chlorocyclohexane,** 



 $a_4$ , 4-Difluorocyclohexyl-3, 3, 5, 5- $d_4$  benzoate (II) in acetone-Cl<sub>3</sub>CF

 $b_4$ , 4-Difluor ocyclohezanol-3, 3, 5, 5- $d_4$  (IV) in D<sub>3</sub>COD-Cl<sub>3</sub>CF

 $C_{4,4}$ -Difluorocyclohexyl chloride (V) in acetone, recorded by S. L. Spassov, and reported in Ref. 5 without assigning the signing

d Based upon the equatorial fluorine peak areas.

Fluorine Magnetic Resonance Parameters and Conformational Free

Energy Differences for Cyclohexane Derivatives II, IV, and V

 $\Delta G^* = +0.43$  kcal/mole (9) (+0.53 kcal/mole at -83<sup>°</sup> (8)) favoring the equatorial conforma**tion. The difference, about 0.8 kcal/mole, is again a substantial stabilization of the axial**  conformer relative to the equatorial conformer upon introduction of a gem-fluoro group at **C-4. It should be noted that this difference in stabilization is too large to be chalked up to simple dipole-dipole repulsions calculated as though acting through a medium of dielectric constant equal to 2.0. The nature of the electrical effects operating in these systems will be the subject of later papers.** 

## **REFERENCES**

- (1) **Supported by the National Science Foundation.**
- (2) **This investigation was supported in part by a Public Health Service Fellowship**  GM-38, 185-01 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. Acknowledg**ment is made to the donors of Ihe Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society for partial support of this research.**
- **(3) Contribution No. 3716.**
- **(4) J. D. Roberts, Chem. Brit. 529 (1966).**
- **(5) S. L. Spassov, D. L. Griffith, E. S. Glazer, K. Nagarajan, and J. D. Roberts,**  J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 89, 88 (1967).
- **(6) The Varian A-56/60A Spectrometer used in this work can be switched easily from 's F to 'H resonance without disturbing the sample.**
- **(7) In general, axial C-l proton band widths are about 2. 5 times the equatorial C-l proton band widths for related compounds. See N. C. Franklin and H. Feltkamp, Angew. Chem. Internat. Ed.?, 774 (1965).**
- (8) F. R. Jensen and B. H. Beck, J. Am. Cham. Soc. 90, 3251 (1968).
- **(9)**  J. A. Hirsch, Topics in Stereochemistry, 1, 199 (1967).